

PROPUBLICA



Pharma Companies Sue for the Right to Buy Blood From Mexicans Along Border



A plasma donation center run by Grifols in El Paso, 2019 PHOTO ILLUSTRATION BY PROPUBLICA. SOURCE IMAGE: THOMAS BERGMANN/SWR

A year after the U.S. barred Mexicans from crossing the border to sell their blood, pharmaceutical companies have acknowledged that those donations provided as much as 10% of the plasma collected nationwide as they seek to have the ban overturned.

by **Stefanie Dodt**, ARD German TV

Co-published with ARD German TV

July 14, 2022, 5:00 am

ProPublica is a nonprofit newsroom that investigates abuses of power. Sign up to receive [our biggest stories](#) as soon as they're published.

This story was co-published with ARD German TV.

In the year since the United States blocked Mexicans from entering the country to sell their blood, the two global pharmaceutical companies that operate the largest number of plasma clinics along the border say they have seen a sharp drop in supply.

In a suit challenging the ban, the companies acknowledged for the first time the extent to which Mexicans visiting the U.S. on short-term visas contribute to the world's supply of blood plasma. In court filings, the companies revealed that up to 10% of the blood plasma collected in the U.S. — millions of liters a year — came from Mexicans who crossed the border with visas that allow brief visits for business and tourism.

The legal challenge by Spain-based Grifols and CSL of Australia relates to an announcement last June that U.S. Customs and Border Protection doesn't permit Mexican citizens to cross into the U.S. on temporary visas to sell their blood plasma. The suit was initially dismissed by a federal judge but reinstated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. The drug companies' lawyers have said in court filings that the sharp reduction in Mexicans selling blood to the border clinics is contributing to a worldwide shortage of plasma and is "precipitating a worldwide public-health crisis that is costing patients dearly."

ProPublica, ARD German TV and Searchlight New Mexico reported in 2019 that [thousands of Mexicans were crossing the border to donate blood](#) as often as twice a week, earning as much as \$400 per month. Selling blood has been illegal in Mexico since 1987.

Many countries place strict limits on blood donations — Germany, for example, allows a maximum of 60 donations per year with intensive checkups before every 6th donation. But the Food and Drug Administration doesn't require comparable donor checkups and allows people visiting American clinics to sell their blood.

The limits that other countries set on blood donations have made the U.S. one of the world’s leading exporters of blood. In 2020, U.S. facilities collected 38.2 million liters of plasma for the production of medicine, accounting for approximately 60% of such blood plasma collected worldwide.

Until now, it has been unclear how much of the U.S. blood plasma supply came from Mexican citizens, and pharmaceutical companies had downplayed border clinics’ role in meeting demand for plasma. Grifols noted in 2019 that “more than 93% of the centers [are] at a far distance from the border between the U.S. and Mexico.”

But in its recent court filings, Grifols stressed the importance of the border clinics. A statement from a company executive disclosed that at the company’s Texas centers alone, there were “approximately 30,000 Mexican nationals donating and supplying over 600,000 liters of plasma [a year].” He describes Mexican donors as “loyal and selfless in their commitment to donating plasma.”

According to a filing by Grifols and CSL, the 24 border centers run by Grifols alone account for an “annual economic impact of well over \$150 million” and represent approximately 1,000 jobs.

The trade organization for the pharmaceutical companies, the Plasma Protein Therapeutics Association, has similarly reframed its arguments on the issue. In a 2019 statement, the association urged reporters not to attach any significance to “donation centers that happen to fall within areas states define as border zones.” It said then that it had no estimate of how much blood was being bought at the border or whether the amount was disproportionate when compared to the rest of the country.

But a recent court filing by the association said there are 52 plasma centers in the border zone, and “the average center along the border collects higher than average (31% more) plasma than the average center nationwide.”

Some of those donation centers were set up just steps away from the U.S.-Mexico border. Their location, court papers make clear, was part of a strategic effort to bring in Mexican donors: A memorandum written by the companies’ lawyers acknowledged that the centers were located to “facilitate” donations made by Mexican nationals, and that Grifols and CSL “have also spent ‘several million dollars in the last several years’ on advertising to encourage Mexican citizens to donate plasma in exchange for payment at the centers located along the border.” The memorandum did not specify if the ads were published in Mexico, but advertising for paid plasma donations is illegal in Mexico.

The Mexican nationals selling their blood previously entered the U.S. on what are known as B-1 or B-2 visas, documents that allow visitors to shop, do business or visit tourist sites. U.S. Customs and Border Protection had long viewed the practice of selling blood as a “gray area,” with some officials allowing short-term visitors to go to the centers while others did not. In 2021, about a year and a half after we published our 2019 story, the Border Patrol issued internal guidance that barred short-term visa holders from selling blood.

CSL and Grifols challenged that action, asserting that for 30 years, CBP had “largely allowed B-1/B-2 visa holders from Mexico to enter this country for the purpose of donating their plasma at collection centers that provide a payment to donors.” The CPB disagreed. Matthew Davies, a supervisory border security officer, told the court that selling plasma for compensation had never been a permissible activity.

On June 14, 2021, CBP sent out “clarifying guidance” that selling plasma on a visitor visa was not allowed. The announcement created chaos at the border centers. Two days later, Grifols wrote — and later deleted — a post on its Spanish-language Facebook page that said, “We are replying to the hundreds of messages asking when people with a visa can come back to donate. For the moment, the response is, you can’t.” An angry reply stated “Now, we’re no longer heroes who are saving lives. They just used us.”

Read More

Pharmaceutical Companies Are Luring Mexicans Across the U.S. Border to Donate Blood Plasma

The U.S. Is Closing a Loophole That Lured Mexicans Over the Border to Donate Blood Plasma for Cash

Since then, donations at border centers have dropped dramatically. The pharmaceutical companies told the court that a survey of 12 centers in Texas found a 20% to 90% decline. “One particularly large center, which normally collects 5000+ donations per week, has decreased to a level closer to 200,” said the plasma association president, Amy Efantis.

Some previous donors interviewed by ProPublica said they would welcome a court ruling that set clear rules for people crossing the border to sell their blood. Genesis, a 23-year-old student from Ciudad Juárez, said she had worried about losing her visa when she entered the United States for her regular visits to the border clinics.

A current manager of a plasma collection center at the border, who asked not to be named because of the ongoing court case, said that he had to lay off about two-thirds of his employees and cut the center’s hours. “It would be good if they allowed [Mexicans] to donate again,” he said. “People are depending on this, on both sides.”

Do You Have a Tip for ProPublica? Help Us Do Journalism.

Got a story we should hear? Are you down to be a background source on a story about your community, your schools or your workplace? Get in touch.

Send Us Your Tip

CORRECTION

July 14, 2022: This story originally misidentified one of the news organizations involved in the 2019 investigation. It was Searchlight New Mexico, not Searchlight Mexico.

Filed under — [Health Care](#)

Republish This Story

More in Health Care >

Lawmakers Call for CDC to Track Vitamin K Shot Refusals, Cite ProPublica Report

Wa

Most Read

1 Wall Street Wants to Change the Rules for Your 401(k). It Could Put Your Retirement at Risk.



“That Guy Is Still Out There”

- 2

The First Major Overhaul of Public Lands Grazing Regulations in a Generation Looks to Cut Out Public Involvement
- 3

He's Suspected of Hiring a Venezuelan Gang for a Political Killing. Trump Officials Still Work With Him.
- 4

Trump Pushes Out Remaining Members of Bipartisan Election Commission Ahead of Midterms
- 5

He Profits Off Raw Milk That's Making People Sick. The Government Isn't Stopping Him.
- 7

Lawmakers Call for CDC to Track Vitamin K Shot Refusals, Cite ProPublica Report
- 8

Amid Mounting War Casualties, Pete Hegseth "Defunded and Impeded" Efforts to Protect Civilians, Lawmakers Say
- 9

Top Legal Adviser to Joint Chiefs Is Stepping Down Nearly a Year Before Completing Term

Support Independent Journalism:

As a nonprofit newsroom, we rely on donations from individuals like you to fuel our work. **Your support today will directly fund investigations that shine a light on urgent issues:** corporations prioritizing profits over public safety, health insurers denying coverage to patients, unintended consequences of new laws and more.

Donate

More Ways to Give

Go behind the scenes of ProPublica's biggest investigations.

Your email address

Get our weekly newsletter

INFO

COVERAGE

LOCAL

CONTACT

SUPPORT US

[Partners](#)

[Awards](#)

[Events](#)

[Jobs](#)

[Fellowships](#)

[Debt](#)

[See All](#)

[Texas](#)

[Local Reporting Network](#)

[Media Inquiries](#)

Investigative Journalism in the Public Interest

PROPUBLICA

[Code of Ethics](#)

[Republishing](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Advertising Policy](#)

[Gift Acceptance](#)